	Central wa	Intelligence Agency ashington, D.C. 20505	
	Executive Director	16 December 1986	<del>-</del>
	NOTE FOR: CI ST  ATTENTION: C/CI/	•	STAT
	FROM:		STAT
	Nat. Hos	of Reference for 1987 ional Assessment of the tile Intelligence Threat US Countermeasures	
TRANSMITTAL SLIP DATE 18 (22 11)		is forwarded to you propriate followup.	STAT
TO: C/ Police Branch/PPS/CS			STAT
<u> </u>	cc: C/Policy Bran NIO/FDIA	nch/PPS/OS	STAT
aur.			STAT
FROM:	<b>9</b> ·		
COOM NO.   BUILDING   EXTENSION			
M NO. 241 REPLACES FORM 36-8 WHICH MAY BE USED. (47)	·		

#### The Director of Central Intelligence

Washington, D.C. 20505

Intelligence Community Staff

DCI/ICS-86-0972 11 December 1986

MEMORANDUM FOR:	Distribution	
FROM:		25 <b>X</b> 1
l	Director, Comunity Counterintelligence and Security Countermeasures Staff	
SUBJECT:	Terms of Reference for 1987 National Assessment of the Hostile Intelligence Threat and US Countermeasures	25 <b>X</b> 1
REFERENCE	Hostile Intelligence Services Threat and United States Countermeasures dated September 1986	25X1
dated 12 January and the Presiden the interaction	renced study is the most recent in a series of annual pared in response to National Security Decision Directive 2 1982. The assessments enable the National Security Council to view the hostile intelligence threat broadly, especially of different hostile collection systems, and to develop and grated counterintelligence and security countermeasures	25 <b>X</b> 1
comments to my of your naming a po-	me now to initiate the process for producing the next national ached are the draft terms of reference (TOR) and the proposed dule for the 1987 version. Please review them and furnish ffice during the month of December. We would also appreciate int of contact to participate in a meeting on the draft TOR needuled for 1400 on 6 January 1987 in Room 1036 Ames Building,	25X1
not particularly possible. They c	chosen a later cut-off date for this year's contributions to zion base for the final published assessment as current as all appreciate receiving portions of contributions which are time sensitive in advance of the cut-off date if at all an be updated in the drafting process and early receipt will o the publication schedule.	25X1
		25X1
		25X1
		<b>∠</b> 5 <b>⊼</b> 1
	SECRET DC/ EXEC MEG	ı
	SECRET	

4. Please address questions concerning	the TOR to or
pr my starr. They can	be reached as follows:
secure. Please furnish the names of your po attendee(s) to	int of contact and meeting
Information Branch,	via the CIA Compartmented
Attachment: a/s	

25X1 25X1 25X1

25X1 25X1 25X1

2

#### Distribution:

The Honorable William H. Webster Director, Federal Bureau of Investigation Room 7176 FBI Headquarters

The Honorable Donald C. Latham Assistant Secretary of Defense (C<sup>3</sup>I) Room 3E172 Pentagon

Mr. Craig Alderman, Jr. Deputy Under Secretary of Defense for Policy Room 2E812 Pentagon

Lieutenant General Richard A. Burpee, USAF Director J-3 (Operations) Joint Chiefs of Staff Room 2D874 Pentagon

Lieutenant General William E. Odom, USA Director, National Security Agency Room 9A192 National Security Agency

Lieutenant General Leonard H. Perroots, USAF Director, Defense Intelligence Agency Room 3E258 Pentagon

Lieutenant General Sidney T. Weinstein, USA Assistant Chief of Staff for Intelligence Department of the Army Room 2E466 Pentagon

Rear Admiral W. O. Studeman, USN Director of Naval Intelligence Room 5C572 Pentagon

Brigadier General Richard S. Beyea, Jr., USAF Commander, Air Force Office of Special Investigations Building 626, Bolling Air Force Base

Colonel Walter J. Breede, USMC Deputy Director of Intelligence United States Marine Corps Room 3233 Navy Annex, Federal Building No. 2

Commander John Williams G-OIS United States Coast Guard Room 3208 Coast Guard Headquarters 2100 2nd Street, SW Washington, D.C. 20593

UNCLASSIFIED

Mr. James H. Taylor Executive Director Central Intelligence Agency Room 7E12 Headquarters

Mr. Francis J. McNeil Acting Director, Bureau of Intelligence and Research Department of State Room 6531 Main State

Ms. Mary C. Lawton
Counsel for Intelligence Policy
Office of Intelligence Policy and Review
Department of Justice
Room 6325 Main Justice

Mr. Douglas P. Mulholland
Special Assistant to the Secretary
(National Security)
Department of the Treasury
Room 2049 Main Treasury

Mr. Robert Gallagher Office of Intelligence Liaison Department of Commerce Room 6854 Main Commerce

Mr. Martin J. Dowd Acting Director, Office of Safeguards and Security Department of Energy DP-34.1, Room E369 Germantown

Mr. Steven Garfinkel Director, Information Security Oversight Office Room 6046 GSA Headquarters

Chairman, DCI Information Handling Committee

STAT

STAT

# TERMS OF REFERENCE FOR 1987 NATIONAL ASSESSMENT OF THE HOSTILE INTELLIGENCE THREAT AND US COUNTERMEASURES

All contributions to this year's national assessment should be prepared as new statements that stand alone and provide complete, current, and accurate pictures of the subject addressed. They should not deal only with changes that have occurred since the previous assessment. Graphics may be included if they contribute to the clarity of the presentation.

As indicated on the schedule appended to these terms of reference, the cut-off date for information and inputs to the assessment is 1 June 1987. This should make the information used as the basis for this year's assessment as current as possible at the time of publication. Inputs can be updated throughout the drafting process. Early submissions would be very much appreciated.

An executive summary of the assessment will be published separately. It will contain principal findings and recommendations, as well as a status report on the implementation of the principal findings of the following two reports published in 1986: the President's Report to the Congress on the Nation's Counterintelligence and Security Countermeasures Plans, Programs, and Capabilities, dated September 1986; and the report of the Select Committee on Intelligence of the United States Senate (SSCI) entitled Meeting the Espionage Challenge: A Review of United States Counterintelligence and Security Programs, dated 7 October 1986.

#### I. OVERVIEW

#### A. Authority and Scope

This section will review the authority to conduct the assessment and describe the assessment's scope and purpose.

## B. Previous Assessment, President's Report, and SSCI Report

Please report on the status of <u>principal</u> issues and problems highlighted in the previous assessment that apply to your organization. A status report on the concerns reflected in the reports by the President and the SSCI mentioned above will be compiled by this office. It will based on inputs from the Interagency Group for Counterintelligence (IG/CI); the Interagency Group for Countermeasures (Policy) [IG/CM(P)]; and the Interagency Group for Countermeasures (Technical) [IG/CM(T)].

#### C. Principal Findings

This section will summarize the principal findings derived from the sections which follow. It will describe succinctly the foreign intelligence threat and measures taken or planned by US agencies with responsibilities in the areas of counterintelligence and/or security countermeasures to neutralize the activities of hostile intelligence services.

#### II. THE THREAT

Please provide stand-alone evaluations on the following topics.

A. <u>Foreign Intelligence Activities by Services Posing a Threited States</u>	at in the
ited States	
	25

### B. Foreign Intelligence Activities Against US Interests Abroad

This section will deal with activities of foreign intelligence services abroad directed against US diplomatic establishments, US military forces or installations, and NATO. It will include the threat posed by recruitment abroad of Americans, foreign service nationals, or the nationals of countries other than the local country. Also included will be threats abroad from SIGINT, imagery, and other overt and covert technical means employed from land-based sites, aircraft, spaceborne, seaborne, underwater, and so forth. Please report on the countries and categories listed in II-A above.

2

## C. Active Measures Against the United States by the Soviets and Others

- 1. In the United States
- 2. In friendly countries
- 3. In other countries

#### III. SPECIFIC TARGETS

Please provide stand-alone evaluations on the following topics.

A. <u>Foreign</u> Space Systems	Collection of US Te	chnology, Including	Advanced Weapons and	
				25X1

#### B. <u>US Strategic and Tactical Military Forces</u>

Please provide information concerning the intelligence efforts by the countries listed in II-A above to collect information on, penetrate, or otherwise affect adversely the effectiveness of US strategic and tactical military forces.

#### C. <u>US Intelligence Services</u>

Please provide information concerning attempts by the countries listed in II-A above to penetrate US intelligence services in the United States or abroad. Include information concerning similar attempts against foreign services where US interests would be affected.

#### D. US National Security Policy Structure

Please provide information concerning attempts by countries listed in II-A above to penetrate executive or legislative branch entities, including the National Security Council, the Department of State, Congressional staffs, and so forth.

#### IV. US ACTIVITIES TO COUNTER THE THREAT

Please provide stand-alone statements on the following topics.

Α.	US	Capab	ility	to	Collect	Informa	tion	on	the	Foreign	Intel1	igence	Threat

#### B. <u>US Capability to Analyze the Foreign Intelligence Threat</u>

- 1. Mission and scope of counterintelligence analysis centers, including the nature and adequacy of supporting data bases.
- 2. Interagency cooperation, coordination, and exchange of the product of analysis.

25X1

25X1

# C. US Capability for Operations Aimed at Neutralizing the Foreign Intelligence Threat

- l. Mission and scope of operational elements charged with counterintelligence responsibilities.
- 2. Interagency cooperation, coordination, and arrangements for operational support.
- 3. Evaluation of the adequacy of capabilities in the counterintelligence area in relation to the threat from HUMINT as well as SIGINT, imagery, and other technical activities.
- 4. Evaluation of the adequacy of US capabilities to counter active measures targeted against US interests at home and abroad. Please give

4

#### SECRET

examples of US successes and failures against hostile active measures operations.

## D. <u>Effectiveness of US Security Countermeasures Programs</u>, Policies, and <u>Initiatives</u>

Please report on the following categories of information for the numbered topics listed below that apply to your organization.

- o Organizational structure;
- o Governing authorities;
- o What is being principally accomplished;
- o Effectiveness and shortfalls.
- 1. Personnel Security
- 2. Physical Security
- Operations Security(OPSEC)
- 4. Information/Document Security
- Communications Security (COMSEC)
- 6. Computer Security (COMPUSEC)
- 7. Industrial Security
- Emanations Security (TEMPEST)
- Technical Security Countermeasures (TSCM)
- 10. Foreign Ownership, Control, and Influence (FOCI)
- 11. National Defense Disclosure Policy
- 12. Technology Transfer Controls
- 13. Security Awareness
- 14. Effect of Leaks

## E. <u>Integration of Counterintelligence and Security Countermeasures</u>

Please assess the overall effectiveness of US efforts to integrate counterintelligence and security countermeasures. Include comments on balancing priorities among counterintelligence and security countermeasures disciplines in regard to the allocation of resources.

#### V. FUTURE DEVELOPMENTS

Please comment on the capabilities and targeting of US interests expected from the countries listed in II-A in the near term and within five years or so. Report also on developments in the capabilities of the United States and its allies which may benefit or detract from our ability to deal with the threat in the near term and beyond.

# VI. RECOMMENDATIONS FOR IMPROVEMENTS IN US COUNTERINTELLIGENCE AND SECURITY COUNTERMEASURES

The recommendations called for here will appear only in the separately published executive summary. Please submit recommendations on the following topics.

- A. Collection
- B. Analysis
- C. Operational Capabilities
- D. Security Countermeasures

1			

25X1

# DRAFT AND PUBLICATION SCHEDULE 1987 NATIONAL ASSESSMENT OF THE HOSTILE INTELLIGENCE THREAT AND US COUNTERMEASURES

Draft TOR distributed	December 1986
TOR meeting and final TOR	distribution January 1987
Community inputs due	- 1 June 1987
Initial draft out	17 August 1987
Community comments due	16 September 1987
Coordination draft out	2 October 1987
Community comments due	16 October 1987
Final draft out	2 November 1987
Publication	30 November 1987